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Report on Financial Statements

Please find attached our report on the Tacoma Community College’s financial statements.

We are issuing this report in order to provide information on the College’s financial condition.

Sincerely,

TROY KELLEY
STATE AUDITOR
OLYMPIA, WA
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2014-001 The College should improve internal controls over preparation of the financial statements to ensure accurate reporting.

Background

It is the responsibility of the College to design and follow internal controls that provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting. We identified deficiencies in internal controls that could adversely affect the College’s ability to produce reliable financial statements.

Government Auditing Standards, prescribed by the Comptroller General of the United States, require that the auditor communicate a significant deficiency, as defined below in the Applicable Laws and Regulations section, as a finding.

Description of Condition

We identified the following deficiencies in internal controls over financial reporting that, when taken together, represent a significant deficiency over financial reporting:

- The useful life used to calculate depreciation for an asset was inaccurate. College staff responsible for financial statement preparation were unaware that the value input into the system previously was inaccurate.

- The College Financial Services Department did not have the necessary resources to adequately prepare the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

- Time constraints on the College’s Financial Services Department staff affected the review process to ensure amounts reported on the financial statements were supported and accurate.

Cause of Condition

The College experienced turnover in key finance positions post fiscal year 2014. Current staff were in the midst of a major system conversion, while simultaneously preparing financial statements for the College. The College did not have sufficient staffing levels to accurately prepare the statements.
**Effect of Condition**

We found the following errors during our audit of the College’s financial statements:

- The College calculated depreciation of an asset over a period of four years using a useful life of 15 years instead of the default 50 years. This created an overstatement of depreciation expense of approximately $584K and an overstatement of accumulated depreciation of $5.5 million.

- The College deleted two assets from its accounting system with a historical cost of $86,756.

- The College overstated the amount of Restricted Net Position for institutional financial aid funds by $152,404.

The errors noted above were corrected in the College’s final financial statements. The College was proactive in making these corrections.

**Recommendation**

We recommend the College:

- Thoroughly review all capital assets to ensure accurate useful lives are used in calculating depreciation, and make the appropriate adjustments.

- Dedicate the necessary resources to ensure the financial statements are prepared timely and accurately in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

- Establish a process to thoroughly review the financial statements prior to submission. The College may wish to develop an internal checklist or other reviewing tool.

**College’s Response**

- *The College relied on prior year audited financial statements as a basis for reporting the College’s assets. Incorrect useful lives were used in calculating depreciation for 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007, for Building 16 improvements, which resulted in a misstatement of accumulated depreciation and net position.*

  Prior to converting capital asset data from Legacy to PeopleSoft, the College will thoroughly review the useful lives for all capital assets. The College will also make the necessary adjustments as appropriate.
• The requirement by the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities that all colleges prepare their own financial statements in compliance with GAAP and GASB has significantly increased the volume of work in the Financial Services Department (FSD). Therefore, the FSD will request additional staffing to address these audit recommendations in order to provide accurate and timely financial statements.

• The College has converted to a new ERP system called PeopleSoft. The new system automates many processes and procedures to increase accuracy in financial reporting. This new tool will be used to prepare the financial statements commencing with the 2016 fiscal year.

Auditor’s Remarks
We appreciate the College’s commitment to resolving the issues noted above and thank the College for its assistance and the corrective action taken during the audit. We will review the status during the next audit.

Applicable Laws and Regulations

RCW 43.88.160 Fiscal management – Powers and duties of officers and agencies, states in part:

(4) In addition, the director of financial management, as agent of the governor, shall:

(a) Develop and maintain a system of internal controls and internal audits comprising methods and procedures to be adopted by each agency that will safeguard its assets, check the accuracy and reliability of its accounting data, promote operational efficiency, and encourage adherence to prescribed managerial policies for accounting and financial controls. The system developed by the director shall include criteria for determining the scope and comprehensiveness of internal controls required by classes of agencies, depending on the level of resources at risk.

Government Auditing Standards, December 2011 Revision, paragraph 4.23 states:

4.23 When performing GAGAS financial audits, auditors should communicate in the report on internal control over financial reporting and compliance, based upon the work performed, (1) significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control; (2) instances of fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the audit and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with
governance; (3) noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements that has a material effect on the audit; and (4) abuse that has a material effect on the audit.

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants defines significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in its Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards, section 265, as follows:

.07 For purposes of generally accepted auditing standards, the following terms have the meanings attributed as follows:

Material weakness. A deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Significant deficiency. A deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

The Office of Financial Management’s State Administrative and Accounting Manual (SAAM), states in part:

Section 20.15.30.a Who is responsible for internal control?

The agency head or authorized designee is ultimately responsible for identifying risks and establishing, maintaining, and reviewing the agency's system of internal control. If the agency head delegates this responsibility, the designated person should have sufficient authority to carry out these responsibilities. Normally, this person is a senior agency manager who does not serve in the internal audit function.

Section 20.15.40.c Control Activities

Control activities help ensure risk responses are effectively carried out and include policies and procedures, manual and automated tools, approvals, authorizations, verifications, reconciliations, security over assets, and segregation of duties. These activities occur across an agency, at all levels and in all functions, and are designed to help prevent or reduce the risk that agency objectives
will not be achieved. Managers set up control activities to provide reasonable assurance that the agency and business unit objectives are met. An example of a control activity is something as simple as listing tasks assigned to staff members and then periodically checking the list to verify that assignments are completed on time. Refer to Section 20.25 for further discussion of control activities.

Section 20.15.40.e Monitoring

Things change and, by monitoring the risks and the effectiveness of control measures on a regular basis, an agency can react dynamically to changing conditions.

Monitoring evaluates the effectiveness of an agency’s internal controls and is designed to ensure that internal controls continue to operate effectively. Monitoring is effective when it leads to the identification and correction of control weaknesses before they materially affect the achievement of the agency’s objectives. An agency’s internal control is most effective when there is proper monitoring, results are prioritized and communicated, and weaknesses are corrected and followed up on as necessary.

There are two types of monitoring: ongoing and periodic. Ongoing monitoring occurs in the course of operations. It includes tasks such as supervisory reviews of reconciliations, reports, and processes. Periodic monitoring includes tasks such as periodic internal audit sampling and annual reviews of high-risk business processes. Internal control deficiencies uncovered by monitoring should be reported to higher levels of management.
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS
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Tacoma Community College
Tacoma, Washington

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities and the aggregate discretely presented component units of the Tacoma Community College, Pierce County, Washington, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the College’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 20, 2016. The College has omitted the management’s discussion and analysis information that governmental accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the basic financial statements. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Tacoma Community College Foundation, as described in our report on the College’s financial statements. This report includes our consideration of the results of the other auditor’s testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those other auditors. However, this report, insofar as it relates to the results of the other auditors, is based solely on the reports of the other auditors. The financial statements of the Tacoma Community College Foundation were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and accordingly this report does not include reporting on internal control over financial reporting or instances of reportable noncompliance associated with the Tacoma Community College Foundation.

The financial statements of the Tacoma Community College, an agency of the state of Washington, are intended to present the financial position, and the changes in financial position, and where applicable, cash flows of only the respective portion of the activities of the state of Washington that is attributable to the transactions of the College and its aggregate discretely presented component units. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial
position of the state of Washington as of June 30, 2014, the changes in its financial position, or where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the College’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the College’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the College’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of College's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Responses as Finding 2014-001 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the College’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the College’s compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.
The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

COLLEGE’S RESPONSE TO FINDINGS

The College’s response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Responses. The College’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the College’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the College’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

TROY KELLEY
STATE AUDITOR
OLYMPIA, WA

June 20, 2016
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
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REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and the aggregate
discretely presented component units of the Tacoma Community College, Pierce County,
Washington, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial
statements, which collectively comprise the College’s basic financial statements.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements
in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this
includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the
preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement,
whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We
did not audit the financial statements of the Tacoma Community College Foundation, which
represents 100 percent of the assets, net position and revenues of the aggregate discretely
presented component units. Those statements were audited by other auditors, whose report has
been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the
Tacoma Community College Foundation, is based solely on the report of the other auditors. We
conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United
States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government
Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards
require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the
financial statements are free from material misstatement. The financial statements of the
Tacoma Community College Foundation were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the College’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the College’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

**Opinion**

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of the other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the business-type activities and the aggregate discretely presented component units of the Tacoma Community College, as of June 30, 2014, and the changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**Matters of Emphasis**

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements of the Tacoma Community College, an agency of the state of Washington, are intended to present the financial position, and the changes in financial position, and where applicable, cash flows of only the respective portion of the activities of the state of Washington that is attributable to the transactions of the College and its aggregate discretely presented component units. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the state of Washington as of June 30, 2014, the changes in its financial position, or where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.
Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Management has omitted the management’s discussion and analysis information that governmental accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the College’s basic financial statements as a whole. The Trustees and Administrative Officers is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

OTHER REPORTING REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated June 20, 2016 on our consideration of the College’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report
is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the College’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

TROY KELLEY
STATE AUDITOR
OLYMPIA, WA

June 20, 2016
**ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR’S OFFICE**

The State Auditor's Office is established in the state's Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the citizens of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with our audit clients and citizens to achieve our vision of government that works for citizens, by helping governments work better, cost less, deliver higher value, and earn greater public trust.

In fulfilling our mission to hold state and local governments accountable for the use of public resources, we also hold ourselves accountable by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency and developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an elected agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits and investigations. Our audits are designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state, and local laws.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with state, federal and local laws on the part of all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits of state agencies and local governments as well as fraud, state whistleblower and citizen hotline investigations.

The results of our work are widely distributed through a variety of reports, which are available on our [website](http://www.sao.wa.gov) and through our free, electronic [subscription](http://www.sao.wa.gov) service.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously, and provide training and technical assistance to governments, and have an extensive quality assurance program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact information for the State Auditor’s Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Records requests</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main telephone</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toll-free Citizen Hotline</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Website</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>